



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,639	12/28/2000	Surendra Goel	06975-076001/Search 01	4918

26171 7590 06/11/2003
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1425 K STREET, N.W.
11TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CINDY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2171

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/749,639	Applicant(s) Donaldson, et al.
	Examiner Nguyen, Cindy	Art Unit 2171

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cindy Nguyen

(3) Safet Metjahic

(2) Joseph Key

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 10, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14

Identification of prior art discussed:

Wical '821

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Referring to Claim 1, Applicant argued that Wical failed to disclose the step of "comparing the search term with a hierarchy of category identifiers to determine whether matches exist." The examiners disagreed. The Examiners referred to the sections of Wical referenced in the Office Action at issue.

Referring to Claim 14, Applicant argued that Wical fails to disclose at least the first two "comparing" provisions. The Examiners agreed that Wical does not disclose at least the first "comparing" provision of the claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached



SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.